

GAINESVILLE, FL 32614-2950

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. 2181 10/641,728 08/15/2003 Shinya Ikematsu SPO-111D1 EXAMINER 05/18/2006 23557 7590 SALIWANCHIK LLOYD & SALIWANCHIK BUNNER, BRIDGET E A PROFESSIONAL ASSOCIATION ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER PO BOX 142950

> 1647 DATE MAILED: 05/18/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

|   |   | Application N        | lo.                                   | Applicant(s)            |        |  |
|---|---|----------------------|---------------------------------------|-------------------------|--------|--|
|   |   | 10/641,728           |                                       | IKEMATSU ET AL.         |        |  |
|   | Office Action Summary   | Examiner             |                                       | Art Unit                |        |  |
|   |   | Bridget E. Bur       |                                       | 1647                    |        |  |
| Period fo   | The MAILING DATE of this communication or Reply   | appears on the co    | ver sheet with the c                  | correspondence ad       | ldress |  |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). |   |                      |                                       |                         |        |  |
| Status  |   |                      |                                       |                         |        |  |
| 1)  | Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2   | 27 July 2005.        |                                       |                         |        |  |
| ,—  | <u> </u>  | This action is non-  | final.                                |                         |        |  |
| 3)  | Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is |                      |                                       |                         |        |  |
| •   | closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.                       |                      |                                       |                         |        |  |
| Disposit  | ion of Claims   |                      |                                       |                         |        |  |
| 4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-9</u> is/are pending in the application.  |   |                      |                                       |                         |        |  |
|   | 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  |                      |                                       |                         |        |  |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.   |   |                      |                                       |                         |        |  |
| -   | 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.  |                      |                                       |                         |        |  |
|   | Claim(s) is/are objected to.  |                      |                                       |                         |        |  |
| 8)⊠   | Claim(s) <u>1-9</u> are subject to restriction and/   | or election requirer | nent.                                 |                         |        |  |
| Applicat  | ion Papers  |                      |                                       |                         |        |  |
| 9)[   | The specification is objected to by the Exar  | miner.               |                                       |                         |        |  |
| 10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.   |   |                      |                                       |                         |        |  |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).   |   |                      |                                       |                         |        |  |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  |   |                      |                                       |                         |        |  |
| 11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.   |   |                      |                                       |                         |        |  |
| Priority (  | under 35 U.S.C. § 119   |                      |                                       |                         |        |  |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:  |   |                      |                                       |                         |        |  |
| <ol> <li>Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> </ol>  |   |                      |                                       |                         |        |  |
| 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  |   |                      |                                       |                         |        |  |
| 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage   |   |                      |                                       |                         |        |  |
| application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).   |   |                      |                                       |                         |        |  |
| * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  |   |                      |                                       |                         |        |  |
|   |   |                      |                                       |                         |        |  |
| Attachmen   | • •   |                      |                                       | (PTO 442)               |        |  |
|   | e of References Cited (PTO-892)<br>te of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948                          |                      | Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da |                         |        |  |
| 3) 🔲 Infor  | mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/Ster No(s)/Mail Date  | B/08) 5)             | Notice of Informal P                  | Patent Application (PTC | O-152) |  |

Application/Control Number: 10/641,728 Page 2

Art Unit: 1647

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Election/Restrictions

1. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of apoptosis related disease:

- a. cardiopathy
- b. nephropathy
- c. hepatopathy
- d. a neurodegenerative disease

The species are independent or distinct because each requires separate, non-coextensive searches. For example, a technical literature search for treating or preventing cardiopathy by, for example, may not result in relevant art with respect to treating or preventing a neurodegenerative disorder.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claim 1 is generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of an

Art Unit: 1647

allowable generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

2. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Bridget E. Bunner whose telephone number is (571) 272-0881. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30-4:30 M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Brenda Brumback can be reached on (571) 272-0961. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

BEB Art Unit 1647 15 May 2006

BRIDGET BUNNER
PATENT EXAMINER

Bridget & Burner